



## REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

**Information Technology Consulting and Technical Support Services Task Order Contracts**

**Solicitation No.: Q-18-001-JG**

**Addendum 3 | February 27, 2018**

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
-----------------------

1. See Addendum 3 Attachment A – Questions and Answers, included with this Addendum, which includes responses to questions received by the deadline of 4:00 p.m. on February 21, 2018.

END OF QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
------------------------------

No other items, dates, or deadlines for this RFQ are changed.

END ADDENDUM 3
----------------

This Addendum, including this one (1) page, is six (6) pages with attachments in its entirety.

Attached: Addendum 3 Attachment A – Questions and Answers – five (5) pages.

## Addendum 3 - Attachment A Questions and Answers

Number	Question	Response
1	Is this RFQ include cloud software for Contract Lifecycle Management and a solution for source to pay?	No
2	If a business is too small to support concurrent task orders, are they precluded from bidding (see Attachment C, Criteria#5)?	All firms are encouraged to submit regardless of their company's size. Tasks assigned as part of contracts awarded under this solicitation may vary depending on SAWS needs over the next 2-4 years. A firm's capacity to handle multiple task orders may not necessarily impact the scoring for the Task Order Implementation Approach. Also, please consider teaming/partnering/sub-consulting with other qualified firms to ensure that SAWS' business needs will be met.
5	If there are any addendums for this RFQ on the SAWS website, do we need to download and include those addendums as part of our response?	No, acknowledgement of Addendums is addressed with number 16 of the Respondent Questionnaire.
6	Also, I'm confused as to what "Exhibit E" is supposed to be. Is this an actual attachment that we have to include? If so, what goes into it? I wasn't quite sure based on the RFQ (Exhibit "E" is mentioned on page 12 of the solicitation).	Exhibit E is the Sample Contract provided in Addendum 1. It does not need to be included with the Respondent's proposal.
7	Can the experience and qualifications of projects be from any technology or specific to any particular technology? Reference: General	Experience and Qualifications can be provided from any technology, but it is important to tie the Experience and Qualifications to the appropriate functional areas your firm is submitting for, as well as the technology identified in the RFQ.
8	Can you please brief us on existing application that are being used in the organization? Reference: General	Infor - IPS( Hansen) for Work Order and Asset Management, for CDR ( Permitting), and for Billing - Payment processes; Infor-Lawson for Finance-Hr-Payroll-Procurement; CPMS ( CIP Planner) Contract Management - CIP; Kronos; LIMS; ITRON ( Meter Reading Software)
9	What is the expected duration of the entire project? Reference: General	2 years with 2 1-year options to extend.
10	Does SAWS have any tentative budget for Application Development Service Provider projects? Reference: General	No, there is not a specific budget identified for the Application Development Service Provider functional area. Rather, the total budget of \$6,000,000 will be shared by multiple firms for all 6 functional areas for the 2 year period.
11	Can development activities be carried out from outside USA? Reference: General	No
12	What level of support can be expected from SAWS IT team for development & deployment of the application? Reference: General	From the development side, SAWS will provide insight on current processes, as well as the requirements addressing the initiative. SAWS will also provide guidance and direction on the expected outcome. Other task specific details are to be provide in individual task orders.
13	Who will take ownership on project management activities? Reference: General	The selected firms will be working with the Information Systems department at SAWS. The individual SAWS Project Manager will be dependent on the functional area.
14	Please provide us details of total no. of systems/applications that are scoped under this category? Reference: Section I.B.3	See response to question 8 under Questions and Answers in this Addendum.
15	What is the size of the user base for these applications put together and average size of user base? Reference: Section I.B.3	Infor - IPS about 450 - 500 concurrent users( internal); Infor Lawson - 100 concurrent users ( Internal); CPMS - 200 ( internal external)
16	Out of all the applications that are scoped, please provide us with total numbers with categorization of custom developed, Cots, Mots and out of the box applications Reference: Section I.B.3	Approximately 70% are Vendor - Out of the Box Software and the other approximately 30% is custom developed ( in-house) or customized out of the Box
17	Who are managing the applications now? Is there a or group of vendors managing it? Reference: Section I.B.3	Applications are being managed internally by SAWS staff.
18	Out of all the applications that are scoped, please provide us with total numbers with categorization of cloud and windows based applications Reference: Section I.B.3	Infor - Lawson is the only cloud based application.
19	Are there any mobile applications that are part of the scope? Reference: Section I.B.3	Not currently, but there may be an opportunity depending on the needs of the business units.
20	What is the average effort in terms of hours put in to provide these services on yearly bases, historically? Reference: Section I.B.3	There is no historic record of these services as this is the first time these services have been solicited.
21	Can you provide details on current size of database (tables/views/indexes etc.) by application? Reference: Section I.B.3	Data Warehouse 1TB, Oracle and SQL databases 38TB. Applications often share databases.
22	Can you provide details on the list of historical issues/bugs by application Reference: Section I.B.3	Mainly process functional bugs in the software.
23	Is there a trend analysis done on the issues/bugs? Reference: Section I.B.3	Currently, there is internal research done, which is then communicated to the vendor.
24	Will you be able to provide how long these applications are running in production? Reference: Section I.B.3	The year when the applications were implemented are as follows: Infor - IPS( Hansen) for Work Order and Asset Management -- 2008, for CDR ( Permitting) -- 2008-2010, and for Billing - Payment processes -- 2013; Infor-Lawson for Finance-Hr-Payroll-Procurement -- 2007; CPMS ( CIP Planner) Contract Management - CIP -- 2015; Kronos -- 2000; LIMS -- 2006; ITRON ( Meter Reading Software) -- 2017.
25	Any application due of sunset / rewriting? Reference: Section I.B.3	None
26	What kind of support is expected – 9X5 or 18 X 5 or 24 X 5 or 24 X 7? Reference: Section I.B.3	None for Applications
27	Is there any tool used for capturing the cases/tickets? Reference: Section I.B.3	Cherwell and JIRA
28	Is there a knowledge management repository? Reference: Section I.B.3	Confluence
29	Are the processes for support well defined? Reference: Section I.B.3	The Applications high level processes are documented.
30	Who handles the production move? Reference: Section I.B.3	SAWS
31	What are all the environments available – dev, test, stage, production? Reference: Section I.B.3	Prod-Test-Devl-Training-ProdCopy
32	What are all the various tools used, for version control, code review, deployment? Reference: Section I.B.3	For custom applications: GIT, BitBucket, JIRA, Confluence, TeamCity
33	Are the coding standards defined and followed? Reference: Section I.B.3	These are based on the vendor's software.

## Addendum 3 - Attachment A

### Questions and Answers

(continued)

34	Has SAWS defined large application development initiatives for the contract period, or are the majority of Task Orders expected to be more focused on maintenance of existing applications?	There will be no maintenance of existing applications. Tasks will mainly be project driven.
35	What is the breakdown in the expected Development activities between Front-End and Back-End?	It is dependent on the request.
36	Is there an existing backlog of Application Development needs/desires?	Not at this time.
37	What is the role/importance SAWS places on User Experience (UX) Design and Development in the overall Application Development process of FA3?	SAWS will focus more heavily on UI/UX for customer facing applications. B2B and internal business applications tend to have less emphasis on experience.
38	Are there existing application systems at SAWS with a history of user complaints, regarding the usability of the application(s)?	No
39	Has SAWS worked with user-centered, experience-driven design/development organizations in the past? If so, what was SAWS' experience?	No. For Custom Applications: Please see response to question 37.
40	Does SAWS have one existing design system (or design language) and front-end framework across all of the applications listed in FA3 or are the design elements different for each application?	No, there is not an existing design system, but for custom applications SAWS is moving towards: UI/UX Front End - Bootstrap, JQuery, HTML 5, React
41	Is there an effective and robust body of knowledge or research on the users of SAWS application systems?	Google Analytics is deployed on customer facing applications.
42	Has SAWS already developed a Dev/Ops model for the Information Technology organization? If not, is there a plan to adopt Agile, Dev/Ops, automation, and other rapid development/deployment models?	Yes, there is a DevOps model in place for solutions that fit best.
43	Page #2, Section I.B.3 For the Application Development Service Provider section, please provide the list of applications and current SAWS application development technology stack that Margarita and Robert mentioned on the bidder's conference call.	Infor - IPS (Hansen) CIS/Billing/Permitting/ Work Order - Oracle based ( on-site); Infor - Lawson Finance- HR-Payroll-Procurement - SQL - On Infor - Cloud; CIPPlanner - CPMS ( CIP Projects) - SQL (on-site); Fleet, LIMS, Kronos - SQL based -OnSite
44	Can a tour of the supported facilities be available to be scheduled this week or next week?*	No, as support of the physical facilities is not in the scope.
45	Can the points of contacts responsible for managing the technology vendors be available for this onsite visit as well?*	See response to question 44 under Questions and Answers in this Addendum.
46	How many physical locations will be supported? Is this a centralized facility or will multiple facilities need support within this RFP? Can addresses be provided?	See response to question 44 under Questions and Answers in this Addendum.
47	How many supported network devices, servers, workstations, network printers, scanners and other hardware will be required to be supported? a. Can an asset inventory report or approximate count of devices be provided? b. What brand or models of printers\scanners will need to be supported?	SAWS currently utilized over 500 servers in the environment. Task Order Requests will provide the specific quantity of devices, estimated number of services requests, etc. that are in scope for a particular project.
48	Past performance network service hours requested; a. Can an approximate count of service requests per week, month or past year be provided? Is a breakdown between remote vs. onsite requests known? An approximation (best guess) is fine. b. Can an approximate amount of network hours spent per week, month or past year be provided? Is a breakdown between remote vs/ onsite hours known? An approximation (best guess) is fine. c. Can projected minimum and maximum network hours per week, month or past year be provided? An approximation (best guess) is fine. d. Is an approximate onsite trip visit per week, month known? An approximation (best guess) is fine.	See response to question 44 and 47 under Questions and Answers in this Addendum.
49	Is remote support the preferred method of support for the network services? Is onsite support the secondary method of support if not able to resolve via remote? Is there a preferred preference?	That will be dependent on the scope of the particular task order request and whether remote capability exists.
50	Are there any regularly scheduled onsite support or maintenance tasks known on a weekly or monthly basis?	No, SAWS has a change control schedule for maintenance.
51	Will Barcom be required to provide their own remote and monitoring helpdesk support tool for workstations? Or is a RMM software already provided by SAWS? We can provide our preferred RMM tool and include into proposal if needed.	No software is required by vendor to provide support.
52	Is all anti-virus, imaging utilities, enterprise software and end user software already provided by SAWS? Will Barcom need to provide any software utilities or hardware tools?	Yes, see response to question 51 under Questions and Answers in this Addendum.
53	Any planned or projected network\server tasks expected in 2018\2019 that provider will need to account for?	See response to question 47 under Questions and Answers in this Addendum.
54	Any planned hardware\software upgrades expected in 2018\2019 that provider will need to account for?	See response to question 47 under Questions and Answers in this Addendum.
55	Please elaborate on the difference between the security services of the overarching IT RFQ and the RFP for a Security Consultant; what is the overlap between the two if any?	There is some functional overlap between the two solicitations but the intent is to award the overarching security services, solicitation number R-18-001-JAM, to a single vendor who can perform annual security audits and provide cyber incident response services. Functional area four in this solicitation, number Q-18-001-JG, is additive services that would be provided under a task order as needed basis.
56	Is the focus of the Application Development Services around Software configuration or Application Development? Could you be more specific on the required skills for this service?	This can be both depending on the initiative that will be addressed. Infor - CPMS requires front end configuration and the back end integration is managed by XSLT-HTML5 - .NET-SSIS/SSRS. The selected firm(s) may need to support initiatives that could require to configure Infor - IPS (Hansen) processes or develop integration through XSLT-HTML5, so it would be useful to be familiar with the Infor-Hansen software. It may also be useful to know how to work with web services.
57	Is the vision for SAWS to have Enterprise-wide Data Architecture with associated Governance?	Yes

**Addendum 3 - Attachment A**  
**Questions and Answers**  
*(continued)*

58	How many distinct sites or locations are under SAWS' control?	See response to question 44 under Questions and Answers in this Addendum.
59	Approximately how many switches, routers, firewalls, and wireless access points are under SAWS' control?	See response to question 44 under Questions and Answers in this Addendum.
60	What is your primary vendor for switches? Routers? Firewalls? Wireless?	SAWS utilizes a variety of products from Cisco, Aruba, and Palo Alto.
61	What new services is SAWS looking to integrate in the future? e.g. ACI or NSX	SAWS is interested in integrating SDN solutions (ACI & NSX).
62	Is it mandatory that vendor should be authorized and/or licensed to do business in Texas?	Yes, Respondents must hold any applicable licenses and certifications necessary to do business in Texas.
63	We are concerned about our ability to present all information regarding names and financial statements as we have NDA's with most of our clients. What types of information do you want us to disclose? Is there any way to provide examples of work without disclosing that type of information? Any advice would be very helpful as we don't want to be disqualified.	The information in the table of Attachment B number 1 is the information needed regarding relevant projects that will characterize the Respondent's experience and performance. SAWS will not need financial statements but will need information that describes what your firm's experience is as well as valid contact information for references that can speak to your firm's ability.
64	Would the RFQ be more Staff Augmentation or Consulting Services type of work?	The scope of services is more task based, but could potentially include both staff augmentation and/or consulting services depending on SAWS' needs over the term of the contract.
65	Ref pg 22 of 43 of the RFQ, item #7 - Key Resource Resumes: Is it acceptable for resumes presented as Key Resources to be applicable representative samples of resources that our firm currently has on staff? Without knowing the specifics and timing of a Task Request, we would not be able to guarantee the availability of a presented key resources, as they may or may not be on other engagements at the time of a Task Request. Can you please confirm that there will be no penalty if a resume presented is not available at the time a Task Request is initiated?	Yes, it is acceptable for resumes presented as Key Resources to be applicable representative samples of resources that the Respondent currently has on staff. However, if a selected firm does not have staff with the same or similar abilities at the time a Task Order Request for Proposal or Request for Resume is issued, the firm may not be awarded that specific task.
66	Ref pg 22 of 43 of the RFQ, item #7 - Key Resource Resumes: If Key Resources are not required to be available when a Task Request is released, would it be possible to provide resumes and omit resource names? As a consulting firm, we prefer to protect this information from post-bid open records requests.	Yes.
67	Will you consider an extension?	No, see number 1 under Clarifications of Addendum 2.
68	In (C) Scope of Services\Functional Area\Item 4\Information Security Services (Page 5). These appear to be services as compared to the other Functional Areas, which are more like skills. Is that correct, you are interested in these Functional Area 4 as a service? a. Are they additive to, or separate from your other RFP for Overarching Security Services?	See response to question 55 under Questions and Answers in this Addendum.
69	For Functional Areas 1, 2, 3 and 5 – will you issue SOW (or, Task Orders) for each role and responsibility for which you want bids? a. If yes, then SOW's will be sent to the "short list" of chosen bidders?	From this solicitation, SAWS will select multiple Respondents to award contracts to which will essentially be a master list of firms that could be issued task orders. At this time, Respondents are submitting to be one of the firms on that master list.
70	Is it correct that a bidder can bid on one or all five categories shown on Page 16? (Services Submittal Identification Form) a. If yes, then Exhibit A is specific to those areas?	Yes, a Respondent may submit for one or more functional areas. Only questions that state 'for each functional area' is specific to those areas. See Addendum 2 for changes to the number of functional areas as now there are six (6).
71	Is this RFQ for vendors who do development/maintenance and not for staff augmentation firms?	See response to question number 64 under Questions and Answers in this Addendum.
72	We want to know about budget information and is any existing vendor is providing service on this RFP and to how many vendors San Antonio Water System is going to award this RFP?	Re: budget. See response to question number 10 under Questions and Answers in this Addendum. There is no existing vendor providing the Scope of Services in the RFQ. The specific number of vendors to be awarded contracts from this RFQ will be determined after the proposals have been evaluated.
73	Is the referenced contract for Local firms in Texas only? Or can an out-of-state contract bid on the opportunity with a subcontractor that is a local firm in Texas?	No, the contract is not only for local firms. Out-of-state firms may submit a proposal to this RFQ. However, it is important to understand how to maximize the SMWVB participation points by potentially using local subcontractors. For questions regarding the SMWVB participation points, Respondents may contact Marisol Robles, SMWVB Program Manager at: 210-233-3420 or marisol.robles@saws.org.
74	I would like to confirm since my company is a SDVOSB, HUBZONE and SDB. Do we fit the category to respond to SOLICITATION NO: Q-18-001-JG, Information Technology Consulting and Technical Support Services Task Order Contracts. We are not Women owned.	All firms are encouraged to submit, but it is important to understand how to maximize the SMWVB participation points. To be recognized as an SMWVB, firms must have "Small Business Enterprise" certification at minimum, must be certified by the South Central Texas Regional Certification Agency, and must have a local presence in any one of the following counties: Atascosa, Bandera, Bexar, Comal, Frio, Guadalupe, Kendall, Kerr, McMullen, Medina, Uvalde and Wilson. "Woman-owned" certification, specifically, is not required. Federal certifications are not recognized by SAWS. For further questions regarding the SMWVB participation points contact Marisol Robles, SMWVB Program Manager at: 210-233-3420 or marisol.robles@saws.org.

**Addendum 3 - Attachment A**  
**Questions and Answers**  
*(continued)*

75	Are we able to have a company sub with us on this task that is not a Hubzone?. Is SDVOSB accepted. Is Travis county is one off the acceptable location for a company to have their office	Responding firms may sub-consult with any firm whom they deem as qualified, regardless of whether the sub-consultant is SMWB-certified or not. SMWB certification is <b>not</b> a pre-qualification for this solicitation. SAWS does not recognize HUBzone as a certification, but we do recognize HUB certification from the State of Texas. Veteran-owned and Disabled Veteran-owned businesses are currently not eligible for SMWB points. Federal certification is not recognized by SAWS. However, if the "Small" component of SDVOSB can be verified through the South Central Texas Regional Certification Agency through Small Business Enterprise certification, your firm would be eligible for some of the SMWB points per the solicitation. Travis County is not in our local market area, and therefore would not be recognized for SMWB points. To be eligible for (up to) 15 SMWB points, firms must have a local presence in any one of the following counties: Atascosa, Bandera, Bexar, Comal, Frio, Guadalupe, Kendall, Kerr, McMullen, Medina, Uvalde and Wilson, and must be a Small Business Enterprise (at minimum) through the South Central Texas Regional Certification Agency. For further questions regarding the SMWVB participation points contact Marisol Robles, SMWVB Program Manager at: 210-233-3420 or marisol.robles@saws.org.
76	We are SBA certified Woman Owned Small Business (EDWOSB). Do we qualify for this bid?	Anyone can submit a bid regardless of SMWB certification status. In other words, firms do not need to be Small, Minority, or Woman-owned to submit a proposal. SMWB certification is <b>not</b> a pre-qualification for this solicitation. However, federal certification is not recognized by SAWS. To be eligible for (up to) 15 SMWB points, firms must be certified by the South Central Texas Regional Certification Agency (SCTRCA), must have SBE certification (at minimum) from the SCTRCA, and must have a local office in any one of the following Texas counties: Atascosa, Bandera, Bexar, Comal, Frio, Guadalupe, Kendall, Kerr, McMullen, Medina, Uvalde and Wilson.
77	We are a certified DBE firm for DC & NY states. Do we qualify for this bid?	See response to question number 76 under Questions and Answers in this Addendum.
78	Our company can be considered an SBE for its \$ size, nevertheless, we don't have local presence in DC. As my understanding from yesterday's pre-submittal meeting - we can't be considered SBE if we don't have presence in DC and if we don't have a valid certificate issued there. Do we necessarily have to meet the SMWVB Goal of 40%? What happens if we can't meet the goal but have a lower SMWVB participation? Do you have a list of SMWVB companies we can approach for the Good Faith Effort Plan?	Any firm may respond to this solicitation, regardless of whether the firm is local, or SMWB-certified, or not. SMWB certification and locality are not pre-qualifications for this solicitation. However, to be eligible for (up to) 15 SMWB points, firm must have a local presence in any one of the following counties: Atascosa, Bandera, Bexar, Comal, Frio, Guadalupe, Kendall, Kerr, McMullen, Medina, Uvalde and Wilson, and must be a Small Business Enterprise (at minimum) through the South Central Texas Regional Certification Agency. If a firm has a local presence, but only has HUB certification, then the HUB certification will suffice.  The 40% goal is not mandatory, but we need to see proof of outreach efforts. For a list of SMWVB companies to approach or further questions regarding the SMWVB participation points contact Marisol Robles, SMWVB Program Manager at: 210-233-3420 or marisol.robles@saws.org.
79	If we are SWMBE certified, do we still have to fill GOOD FATH EFFORT form ?	Yes, the Good Faith Effort Plan (GFEP) must be submitted as part of the Respondent's proposal regardless of SMWB-certification status. The GFEP is a required document, and failure to include the GFEP in your submittal may disqualify your proposal.
80	Will answers to all questions asked today be provided to all bidders?	Yes.
81	Do responses require a cooperative contract reference (DIR, NASPO, Bid Buy, etc.)?	No. Contracts awarded under this solicitation will use the Sample Contract included in Addendum 1.
82	Under Insurance Requirements and Proof of Insurability, it mentioned that the firm must have Insurance coverage mentioned in Exhibit A at the time of Commencing the contract. Would it be acceptable to provide the current Insurance coverage and once the contract is awarded or is in negotiations, we can secure additional insurance needed, or is it required to have the required insurance prior to submitting the response and proof needs to be provided?	Respondents may submit current insurance coverage and a letter from their insurance company stating that the firm has the ability to obtain the full coverage listed in Exhibit A of the RFQ as part of their proposal submission. However, full insurance coverage must be obtained with proof provided prior to contract execution.
83	For Resumes, Org chart and other attachments, should we merge them into the response as single PDF or address them as multiple attachments and reference them in the response? Would multiple PDFs be acceptable or all docs needs to be merged as single PDF?	Responses must be merged into a single PDF. Multiple PDFs will not accepted.
84	Page #13, Section VII. C. Does SAWS require all respondents to include a completed Form 1295 with their submission? If so, where in the list of required items in Section IV.B.3 should it be included.	No, a Form 1295 is not required as part of the submission, but will be required by selected forms with a signed contract.
85	How can I obtain the list of bidders / interested parties for this RFQ	The Interested Firms List can be found here: <a href="https://www.saws.org/business_center/ContractSol/interestedfirmslist.cfm?id=2121">https://www.saws.org/business_center/ContractSol/interestedfirmslist.cfm?id=2121</a>
86	Do the bidders have to provide hourly rates or a price proposal with the response submission?	No. Respondents should not provide any information within their proposal that include rates or prices.
87	I received an update for changes but could not determine what those changes were on the RFQ – Q-18-001-JG	Any changes made are done so via Addendum. Addendums can viewed and downloaded at the following link: <a href="https://www.saws.org/business_center/ContractSol/Drill.cfm?id=2121&amp;View=Yes">https://www.saws.org/business_center/ContractSol/Drill.cfm?id=2121&amp;View=Yes</a>

**Addendum 3 - Attachment A**  
**Questions and Answers**  
*(continued)*

88	This is our first attempt to bid with SAWS, could we get additional advice, assistance or guidance from any source?	This solicitation does have communication restrictions which can be found in Section III. Communication A. Restrictions on page 6 of the RFQ. However if there are questions regarding how to submit a proposal, contact Jessica Goforth as per Section III. Communication C. Technical Questions on page 7 of the RFQ.
89	Also, There is a NON – Mandatory Pre-submittal meeting scheduled for tomorrow on a webex, Will this be recorded? I would like the link to watch this video at a different time, can you please provide.	See response to question number 3 under Questions and Answers in Addendum 1.
90	Will this be recorded? I would like the link to watch this video at a different time, can you please provide.	See response to question number 3 under Questions and Answers in Addendum 1.
91	I would like to bring into your kind notice that the webpage containing the RFQ is somehow not accessible and we are unable to obtain the RFQ documents. I would request you to kindly share the said documents with us on email, if possible. If not, kindly direct us to the link which contains the RFQ documents.	The file size is large and may take additional time to download. SAWS recommends accessing the RFQ from a different computer. If your firm continues to experience issues with accessing the RFQ contact Jessica Goforth, Contract Administrator.
92	Just to make sure I'm understanding correctly, the first section of both Attachment A and Attachment B call for a separate copy for each functional area that we are applying for. So, if we were applying for all five functional areas, we would fill out section 1 of Attachment A and section 1 of Attachment B five times each, correct?	Response to be provided in Addendum 4.
93	My big question was, do we also need to fill out five copies of all the other sections as well, or just section 1? Do any of the other attachments or exhibits require multiple copies?	Response to be provided in Addendum 4.
94	Can you provide details on current data volume by application? Reference: Section I.B.3	Response to be provided in Addendum 4.
95	Currently, how can a responder be scored on the M/WBE % when no price is being quoted?	Response to be provided in Addendum 4.